



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/607,500	06/30/2000	Bilal Alam	13768.143.1	9663
22913 7590 04/26/2004		EXAMINER		
WORKMAN NYDEGGER (F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER			JACOBS, LASHONDA T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	10
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111		DATE MAILED: 04/26/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	gra
а	, ,

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/607,500	ALAM ET AL.				
•	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LaShonda T. Jacobs	2157				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 30 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) $\square$ they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d)  they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	l be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment				
	5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15,17-20,22,24 and 25</u> .	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
<del></del>		,				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' agruments in regards to claims 1,17, and 24 recite that the "backlog queue includes connection request without regard for whether or not the connection request includes associated request data" which is not supported by the Applicants' specification. Examiner does not ggive any patentable weight to this limitation which is not supported by the specification. Therefore, LiVecchi's backlog have the same characteristics as the recited log backlog queue recited in claim 1.

ARIO ETIENNE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100